

Advanced Ferroelectric Materials for High-Frequency and Low-Loss Electronic Components

Seaneen L. Purcell

Department of Computer Science, University of North Texas, Denton, TX, USA.
spurcell@unt.edu

Henni Kensy

Department of Computer Science, University of Central Florida, Orlando, FL, USA.
hennikensy749@ucf.edu

Guiomar Castrillo

Department of Computer Science, University of Alabama at Birmingham, Birmingham, AL, USA.
guiomarcastrillo212@uab.edu

Abstract

Advanced ferroelectric materials occupy a pivotal position in the development of high-frequency and low-loss electronic components because they combine field-tunable polarization, high permittivity, and compatibility with thin-film integration. This paper examines the system-level implications of ferroelectric materials for radio-frequency, microwave, and millimeter-wave electronics, with attention to device architecture, manufacturing infrastructure, reliability, sustainability, and policy. It argues that the central challenge is not merely the discovery of higher-performance compositions but the alignment of material physics with packaging, thermal management, testability, and supply-chain governance. The discussion begins with the fundamental behavior of ferroelectric ceramics and thin films, including barium titanate, lead zirconate titanate, and hafnium oxide-based systems, and then connects these properties to loss mechanisms, tunability, and frequency response. The paper analyzes trade-offs among permittivity, dielectric loss, leakage, breakdown strength, and process temperature, showing that low-loss operation at high frequency requires coordinated control of composition, strain, microstructure, electrode interfaces, and device geometry. It further considers multilayer ceramic capacitors, tunable varactors, phase shifters, filters, piezoelectric microsystems, and emerging ferroelectric memory and neuromorphic components as parts of a broader socio-technical infrastructure. Manufacturing considerations include aqueous tape casting, co-firing, thin-film deposition, doping, and heterogeneous integration. The paper also addresses robustness under temperature, bias, and radiation, as well as fairness in access to advanced fabrication capacity and policy questions concerning standards, export controls, and sustainable materials. It concludes that ferroelectric electronics should be evaluated as long-lived infrastructure rather than isolated material innovations, and that future progress depends on interdisciplinary governance that links materials science, circuit design, metrology, and environmental responsibility.

Keywords

ferroelectrics, low-loss electronics, high-frequency components, barium titanate, thin films, multilayer ceramic capacitors, tunable microwave devices, materials governance.

1. Introduction

The modern electronic component landscape is shaped by an intensifying demand for devices that can operate at higher frequencies, lower power, and greater reliability while remaining manufacturable at scale. Ferroelectric materials are attractive in this context because their polarization can be switched or tuned by an applied electric field, enabling functions such as variable capacitance, piezoelectric actuation, nonvolatile memory, and nonlinear frequency control [1]. Unlike purely linear dielectrics, ferroelectrics introduce a coupled response among electrical, mechanical, thermal, and chemical variables, which creates both rich functionality and significant systems-level complexity. The same polarization behavior that enables tunability can also produce hysteresis, dielectric loss, aging, and fatigue, especially when materials are integrated into miniature components operating near thermal and electrical limits. Therefore, the design of advanced ferroelectric components cannot be reduced to the optimization of a single material figure of merit. It must account for the entire chain from powder synthesis and film deposition to electrode formation, packaging, testing, and end-of-life management [2].

Historically, ferroelectric ceramics such as barium titanate and lead zirconate titanate became foundational to capacitors, sensors, actuators, and transducers because they offered very high permittivity or strong piezoelectric coupling [3]. Their adoption in high-frequency electronics, however, has been uneven. High permittivity often comes with increased dielectric loss and temperature sensitivity, while piezoelectric softness can introduce unwanted mechanical resonances. In microwave systems, tunable ferroelectric varactors and phase shifters have been explored for decades, yet their insertion loss and bias stability have limited widespread deployment [4]. More recent interest in ferroelectric thin films, including doped hafnium oxide, has been driven by complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor compatibility, low switching energy, and the possibility of integrating memory and logic functions directly with radio-frequency front ends [5]. This convergence of materials science with circuit architecture makes ferroelectric electronics a useful case study in how emerging materials move from laboratory promise to dependable infrastructure.

A system-level perspective is especially important because high-frequency and low-loss requirements impose constraints that propagate across scales. At the atomic scale, defect chemistry, domain structure, and interfacial bonding determine loss and leakage. At the device scale, electrode geometry, current crowding, and thermal pathways influence quality factor and power handling. At the circuit scale, matching networks, packaging parasitics, and bias circuitry determine whether a material with attractive intrinsic properties actually improves system performance. At the infrastructure scale, fabrication capacity, metrology standards, material supply, and environmental regulation shape which technologies can be deployed equitably and sustainably. This paper therefore treats advanced ferroelectrics not only as candidates for better components but as elements of a socio-technical system in which performance, robustness, fairness, and governance are intertwined.

2. Fundamental Physics and Materials Landscape of Advanced Ferroelectrics

Ferroelectricity arises from a non-centrosymmetric crystal structure in which spontaneous polarization can be reversed by an electric field. The classic perovskite oxides, including barium titanate and lead zirconate titanate, exhibit this behavior near structural phase transitions, where small changes in temperature, composition, or strain can produce large changes in permittivity and piezoelectric response [6]. In high-frequency components, the relevant material properties include relative permittivity, dielectric loss tangent, tunability,

breakdown strength, leakage current, and temperature coefficient. These properties are not independent. Increasing permittivity through compositional or microstructural engineering often increases loss and temperature sensitivity, while improving crystallinity and reducing defects can lower loss but may also reduce tunability or require higher processing temperatures. The central materials problem is therefore one of balanced optimization under integration constraints rather than maximization of a single metric [7].

Thin-film ferroelectrics add another layer of complexity because surfaces, interfaces, and strain states dominate behavior. When ferroelectric films are grown on lattice-mismatched substrates, the resulting strain can stabilize particular polarization orientations, modify domain wall mobility, and shift phase transition temperatures [8]. Interfacial layers between the ferroelectric and the electrode can create dead layers, Schottky barriers, and defect states that increase loss and leakage. In barium titanate films intended for embedded capacitors, for example, the quality of the film-electrode interface strongly influences breakdown and capacitance stability under bias [9]. The same interface that enables charge control may also introduce trap-assisted conduction or fatigue. Consequently, advanced ferroelectric design is inseparable from interface engineering.

The materials landscape is also broadening beyond traditional perovskites. Hafnium oxide-based ferroelectrics are attractive because they can be deposited by atomic layer deposition, are compatible with silicon processing, and can retain ferroelectric behavior at nanoscale thicknesses [5]. Their polarization switching is influenced by dopants, oxygen vacancies, grain size, and phase competition, making reproducibility a central challenge. Lead-free compositions such as barium titanate, potassium sodium niobate, and bismuth ferrite address environmental concerns but often require trade-offs in temperature stability or piezoelectric coefficient [10]. Polymer and composite ferroelectrics offer mechanical flexibility and low-temperature processing, but their loss and thermal limits restrict high-frequency use. Thus, the field is not converging on one universal material. Instead, it is developing a portfolio in which different ferroelectrics are matched to different frequency bands, power levels, and integration platforms.

The system-level implication is that material selection must begin with the target architecture. A tunable filter for a handheld communication device has different constraints from a high-power radar phase shifter or an embedded decoupling capacitor in a processor package. The former prioritizes low bias voltage, small size, and low loss, while the latter prioritizes capacitance density, breakdown strength, and thermal stability. A material that performs well in one context may fail in another because the dominant loss mechanism changes with frequency, field, and temperature. Advanced ferroelectric research therefore needs to report not only intrinsic properties but also process compatibility, aging behavior, and integration yield. Without such contextual data, materials comparisons remain incomplete and can lead to premature claims of superiority.

3. High-Frequency Loss Mechanisms and Materials Engineering Trade-offs

At high frequencies, dielectric loss in ferroelectrics arises from multiple mechanisms, including domain wall motion, phonon interactions, defect relaxation, conduction, and electrode-related losses. Domain wall contributions are often significant in bulk ceramics and can be reduced by acceptor doping, grain boundary engineering, or operation in a single-domain state, but these strategies may reduce tunability. Conduction losses become increasingly important as thickness scales down and electric fields increase, because leakage currents and interfacial charge injection degrade quality factor and reliability [11]. In thin

films, the electrode interface can dominate the measured loss, especially when the ferroelectric layer is only tens of nanometers thick. This means that low-loss design must simultaneously address bulk defect chemistry, film microstructure, and contact physics.

Tunability and loss are frequently coupled through the same microscopic degrees of freedom. A material with easily movable domains and high polarizability may provide large capacitance change under bias, but the same mobility can increase dielectric loss. Conversely, a highly ordered epitaxial film with low defect density may exhibit low loss but limited tunability or high processing cost. Engineers therefore face a trade-off frontier rather than a single optimum. The useful question is where a material should sit on that frontier for a given application. For a tunable antenna or phase shifter, even modest tunability with very low loss may be preferable to high tunability with unacceptable insertion loss. For a capacitor, high permittivity and breakdown strength may matter more than tunability. This application-specific framing is essential for system-level decision making [12].

Temperature and bias stability further complicate high-frequency performance. Ferroelectric phase transitions can produce sharp peaks in permittivity and loss, and these peaks may shift with composition, strain, and electric field. In multilayer ceramic capacitors, temperature coefficient requirements are strict because capacitance variation can detune circuits or violate reliability specifications. In tunable devices, bias stability determines whether calibration remains valid over time and environmental change. Materials engineering strategies such as core-shell grain structures, dopant gradients, and composite phases can flatten temperature response, but they add process complexity and may introduce new aging pathways [13]. The design of high-frequency ferroelectric components therefore requires statistical reliability thinking, not just average property measurement.

Mechanical and piezoelectric effects can also influence high-frequency electrical behavior. Ferroelectrics are often piezoelectric, so an alternating electric field can generate acoustic waves, mechanical stress, and resonance phenomena. These effects can be exploited in filters and transducers, but in capacitors and varactors they may create unwanted loss peaks or microphonic noise [14]. Packaging and mounting can constrain or amplify mechanical stress, changing electrical performance in ways that are difficult to predict from material data alone. This coupling between electrical and mechanical domains illustrates why ferroelectric components require co-design across materials, mechanics, and circuit engineering. A low-loss component is not merely a low-loss material; it is a carefully balanced electromechanical system.

4. Device Architectures and Integration for Low-Loss Components

Multilayer ceramic capacitors represent one of the most mature and economically important applications of ferroelectric materials. They achieve high capacitance density by stacking many thin dielectric layers with interleaved electrodes, but this architecture introduces challenges in co-firing, delamination, electrode diffusion, and thermal expansion mismatch. Advanced barium titanate films produced through aqueous tape casting illustrate how slurry formulation, binder chemistry, lamination, and sintering can improve density and dielectric performance for ultrathin multilayer ceramic capacitors [11]. The system-level benefit is not only smaller size but also better high-frequency decoupling, provided that equivalent series resistance and equivalent series inductance are controlled through electrode design and termination geometry. The capacitor is thus a composite device whose performance depends as much on metallurgy and process control as on ferroelectric composition.

Tunable ferroelectric varactors and phase shifters offer another architecture for high-frequency control. They can be integrated into filters, matching networks, beamforming arrays, and reconfigurable radios. Their appeal lies in continuous tuning without mechanical movement, but their loss and linearity must compete with semiconductor varactors and microelectromechanical switches. Thin-film ferroelectric varactors can be placed in parallel-plate or coplanar geometries, each with different field distribution, electrode loss, and packaging constraints [15]. Parallel-plate structures provide uniform fields but require careful bottom-electrode preparation, while coplanar structures simplify fabrication but may suffer from higher loss and lower tunability. The choice of architecture determines which material properties matter most and how the device will behave under bias and temperature.

Piezoelectric microsystems and microelectromechanical devices form a related but distinct category. Here, ferroelectric thin films convert electrical energy into mechanical motion, enabling sensors, actuators, resonators, and energy harvesters [12]. In high-frequency resonators, low loss is associated with high mechanical quality factor, which depends on film crystallinity, electrode damping, and anchor design. Integration with complementary metal-oxide-semiconductor processing imposes thermal budget limits that restrict the use of some perovskite ferroelectrics [13]. Lead-free alternatives are attractive for environmental reasons, but they often require higher processing temperatures or exhibit lower piezoelectric coefficients. The system-level challenge is to achieve sufficient performance while meeting manufacturing and regulatory constraints.

Emerging ferroelectric memory and neuromorphic devices extend the integration story beyond passive components. Ferroelectric tunnel junctions, ferroelectric field-effect transistors, and negative-capacitance devices offer nonvolatile storage, low-power switching, and analog conductance that could support in-memory computing [16]. These devices may eventually be co-integrated with radio-frequency circuits to enable adaptive front ends, but they introduce new reliability concerns such as retention loss, endurance failure, and variability. The same polarization dynamics that support memory can also create noise and hysteresis in analog high-frequency operation. Therefore, the architecture must isolate or exploit ferroelectric switching depending on function. A system that uses ferroelectrics for both tuning and memory must manage interactions between these roles carefully.

5. Manufacturing, Scalability, and Infrastructure Governance

The transition from promising ferroelectric material to deployed component depends on manufacturing infrastructure. Bulk ceramics require powder synthesis, forming, sintering, and electrode application, while thin films require deposition, patterning, annealing, and encapsulation. Each step has yield, cost, and environmental implications. Aqueous tape casting, for example, can reduce solvent use and improve green-body uniformity, but it requires precise control of slurry rheology, drying, and binder burnout [11]. Atomic layer deposition of hafnium oxide-based ferroelectrics offers excellent thickness control and conformality, but its throughput and precursor availability must be considered at scale [18]. The manufacturing choice therefore shapes not only performance but also supply-chain resilience and environmental footprint.

Scalability also depends on metrology and standards. Ferroelectric properties are sensitive to measurement conditions, including frequency, bias history, temperature, and electrode area. Without standardized protocols, laboratories may report properties that cannot be reproduced in production. This is particularly problematic for high-frequency components, where fixture parasitics and calibration errors can dominate measured loss. Industry consortia, national

laboratories, and standards bodies play an important governance role by defining test methods, reliability criteria, and data reporting formats. Such coordination is necessary for fair competition and for enabling designers to trust material datasheets. In the absence of shared standards, adoption slows because system integrators cannot compare alternatives reliably.

Supply chains for ferroelectric materials involve critical minerals, specialty precursors, and advanced fabrication equipment. Some compositions contain lead, which is regulated in many jurisdictions, while others rely on rare or geographically concentrated elements. Policy instruments such as export controls, environmental directives, and research subsidies influence which materials are developed and where manufacturing capacity is located. A socio-technical view recognizes that a technically superior material may not be deployed if its supply chain is fragile or ethically problematic. Conversely, a modestly performing material with stable supply and low environmental impact may become the preferred infrastructure choice. Governance must therefore balance innovation, security, sustainability, and equity.

6. Reliability, Robustness, and Socio-Technical Deployment

Reliability is a defining requirement for high-frequency and low-loss components because many applications operate continuously in harsh environments. Ferroelectric materials can degrade through fatigue, imprint, aging, and breakdown. Fatigue involves progressive loss of switchable polarization after repeated cycling, often linked to defect accumulation and domain pinning. Imprint is a preference for one polarization state, which can shift switching characteristics and degrade analog tuning. Aging can change capacitance over time even without switching. These phenomena are not merely material defects; they become system risks when components are embedded in communication infrastructure, medical devices, transportation systems, or defense platforms [19]. Reliability engineering must therefore combine accelerated testing, physics-based modeling, and field monitoring.

Robustness under temperature, bias, radiation, and mechanical stress is especially important for aerospace, automotive, and industrial electronics. Ferroelectric components may be exposed to wide temperature swings, vibration, humidity, and electromagnetic interference. Radiation can create charge traps and alter domain dynamics, affecting both memory and tuning functions [20]. Packaging can mitigate some risks but may introduce thermal expansion mismatch or parasitic capacitance. The design of robust systems often requires redundancy, calibration, and graceful degradation rather than absolute immunity. For example, a tunable filter may be designed with enough tuning range to compensate for aging, or a capacitor bank may be arranged so that a single breakdown does not cause system failure.

Deployment also raises questions of fairness and access. Advanced ferroelectric fabrication requires cleanrooms, deposition tools, and characterization facilities that are concentrated in a limited number of regions and institutions. This concentration can exclude smaller firms, universities, and developing economies from participating in innovation. Open-access fabrication networks, shared metrology platforms, and collaborative standards can reduce barriers. At the same time, dual-use concerns may justify controls on certain high-performance materials. The policy challenge is to protect security without stifling broad-based research and equitable technological development. A socio-technical approach treats fairness not as an afterthought but as a condition for long-term innovation and legitimacy.

7. Sustainability, Fairness, and Policy Implications

Sustainability in ferroelectric electronics encompasses material extraction, processing energy, water use, hazardous waste, and end-of-life recycling. Lead-based ferroelectrics such as lead

zirconate titanate have excellent properties but pose toxicity risks during manufacturing and disposal. Lead-free alternatives reduce these risks but may require more energy-intensive processing or contain other critical elements. Hafnium oxide-based ferroelectrics are attractive because they are compatible with existing semiconductor infrastructure and can be deposited in thin layers, reducing material volume. However, their long-term environmental profile depends on precursor synthesis, deposition energy, and device lifetime [18]. Sustainability assessment must therefore be lifecycle-based rather than focused only on the presence or absence of a single hazardous element.

Policy instruments can accelerate sustainable adoption through research funding, environmental standards, and extended producer responsibility. Governments may require disclosure of material composition, recycling pathways, and energy intensity. They may also support precompetitive research on lead-free compositions, low-temperature processing, and closed-loop manufacturing. International coordination is important because supply chains cross borders and because inconsistent regulations can shift environmental burdens rather than reduce them. Standards for recyclability and material traceability could help system integrators choose components that align with corporate sustainability goals. Without such policies, market incentives may favor short-term cost reduction over long-term environmental performance.

Fairness also arises in the distribution of benefits and risks. High-frequency ferroelectric components enable advanced communication, sensing, and computing, but their benefits may accrue unevenly if deployment favors wealthy regions or concentrated industries. Conversely, environmental and labor risks may be exported to communities with weaker protections. A just transition approach would include technology transfer, capacity building, and participatory governance in materials development. It would also recognize that some applications, such as medical diagnostics or disaster response, may justify public investment even when commercial returns are uncertain. The design of ferroelectric infrastructure is therefore not only an engineering question but also a political and ethical one.

8. Future Perspectives and Research Agenda

Future progress in advanced ferroelectric materials will depend on tighter integration among materials discovery, device design, and system architecture. Machine learning and high-throughput experimentation can accelerate the search for low-loss compositions, but they must be coupled to realistic process models and reliability data. In situ characterization can reveal how domains, defects, and interfaces evolve under bias and temperature, providing the mechanistic understanding needed for predictive design [15]. Multiscale modeling can connect atomic-scale polarization dynamics to circuit-level performance, but such models require shared data formats and validation standards. The research agenda should prioritize reproducibility, negative results, and lifecycle metrics alongside traditional performance indicators.

Negative capacitance and ferroelectric memory devices illustrate both the promise and the uncertainty of emerging directions. Negative capacitance could enable steep-slope transistors and low-power logic, but its physical origin and stability remain debated [17]. Ferroelectric memristors could provide analog weights for neuromorphic computing, but their variability and endurance must improve before large-scale deployment [20]. These technologies may not replace conventional high-frequency components, but they could enable new architectures in which tuning, memory, and computation are co-located. Such convergence would increase the

importance of thermal management, isolation, and fault tolerance, because a single material layer would serve multiple functions with different reliability requirements.

A forward-looking research agenda should also address governance and infrastructure. Public-private partnerships can share the cost of pilot fabrication lines, while open standards can reduce fragmentation. Educational programs should train engineers who understand both ferroelectric physics and system reliability, because the field's challenges lie at disciplinary boundaries. International cooperation on environmental standards and critical materials can prevent a race to the bottom. Finally, the evaluation of ferroelectric technologies should include not only insertion loss and tunability but also repairability, recyclability, supply-chain transparency, and equitable access. These criteria will determine whether advanced ferroelectrics become durable infrastructure or remain niche laboratory achievements.

9. Conclusion

Advanced ferroelectric materials offer a powerful route to high-frequency and low-loss electronic components, but their success depends on far more than intrinsic material properties. The physics of polarization, domain motion, interfaces, and strain creates a complex trade-off space in which permittivity, tunability, loss, leakage, and reliability must be balanced for specific applications. Multilayer ceramic capacitors, tunable varactors, piezoelectric microsystems, and emerging memory devices each impose different constraints on composition, processing, and architecture. Manufacturing choices, from aqueous tape casting to atomic layer deposition, shape performance, scalability, cost, and environmental impact. Reliability and robustness require attention to fatigue, aging, temperature, bias, radiation, and packaging. Sustainability and fairness introduce further requirements concerning lead-free compositions, critical materials, recycling, and equitable access to fabrication capacity.

The central argument of this paper is that ferroelectric electronics should be understood as socio-technical infrastructure. A material that performs well in a laboratory may fail in deployment if it cannot be manufactured reliably, tested consistently, governed responsibly, or recycled safely. Conversely, a material with modest intrinsic properties may become transformative if it integrates smoothly with existing semiconductor processes and supply chains. Future research should therefore move beyond isolated material optimization toward co-design of materials, devices, circuits, and policy. Such an approach will require interdisciplinary collaboration among materials scientists, electrical engineers, manufacturing experts, environmental analysts, and policymakers. If pursued thoughtfully, advanced ferroelectrics can support high-frequency communication, sensing, computing, and energy systems that are not only faster and more efficient but also more robust, sustainable, and equitable.

References

1. Scott, J. F. (2007). Applications of modern ferroelectrics. *Science*, 315(5814), 954-959. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1139560>
2. Lines, M. E., & Glass, A. M. (1977). *Principles and applications of ferroelectrics and related materials*. Oxford University Press.
3. Haertling, G. H. (1999). Ferroelectric ceramics: History and technology. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 82(4), 797-818. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1151-2916.1999.tb01840.x>

4. Tagantsev, A. K., Sherman, V. O., Astafiev, K. F., Venkatesh, J., & Setter, N. (2003). Ferroelectric materials for microwave tunable applications. *Journal of Electroceramics*, 11(1-2), 5-66. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JECR.0000015661.81315.63>
5. Gevorgian, S. (2009). *Ferroelectrics in microwave devices, circuits and systems: Physics, modeling, fabrication and measurements*. Springer.
6. Kingon, A. I., Maria, J.-P., & Streiffer, S. K. (2000). Alternative dielectrics to silicon dioxide for memory and logic devices. *Nature*, 406(6799), 1032-1038. <https://doi.org/10.1038/35023243>
7. Waser, R., & Aono, M. (2007). Nanoionics-based resistive switching memories. *Nature Materials*, 6(11), 833-840. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat2023>
8. Setter, N., Damjanovic, D., Eng, L., Fox, G., Gevorgian, S., Hong, S., Kingon, A., Kohlstedt, H., Park, N. Y., Stephenson, G. B., Stolitchnov, I., Tagantsev, A. K., Taylor, D. V., Yamada, T., & Streiffer, S. (2006). Ferroelectric thin films: Review of materials, properties, and applications. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 100(5), 051606. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2336999>
9. Ihlefeld, J. F., Laughlin, B., Hunt-Lowery, A., Borland, W., Kingon, A., & Maria, J.-P. (2005). Copper compatible barium titanate thin films for embedded decoupling capacitors. *Journal of Electroceramics*, 14(2), 95-102. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10832-005-0866-6>
10. Damjanovic, D. (1998). Ferroelectric, dielectric and piezoelectric properties of ferroelectric thin films and ceramics. *Reports on Progress in Physics*, 61(9), 1267-1324. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0034-4885/61/9/002>
11. Luan, Saiwei, et al. "Fabrication of High Performance BaTiO₃ Films via Aqueous Tape Casting for ultrathin MLCC applications." *Ceramics International* (2025).
12. Trolrier-McKinsty, S., & Muralt, P. (2004). Thin film piezoelectrics for MEMS. *Journal of Electroceramics*, 12(1-2), 7-17. <https://doi.org/10.1023/B:JECR.0000015658.58196.51>
13. Muralt, P. (2000). Ferroelectric thin films for micro-sensors and actuators: A review. *Journal of Micromechanics and Microengineering*, 10(2), 136-146. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0960-1317/10/2/307>
14. Polla, D. L., & Francis, L. F. (1998). Processing and characterization of piezoelectric materials and integration into microelectromechanical systems. *Annual Review of Materials Science*, 28, 563-597. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.matsci.28.1.563>
15. Schlom, D. G., Chen, L.-Q., Fennie, C. J., Gopalan, V., Muller, D. A., Pan, X., Ramesh, R., & Uecker, R. (2014). Elastic strain engineering of ferroic oxides. *MRS Bulletin*, 39(2), 118-130. <https://doi.org/10.1557/mrs.2014.1>
16. Martin, L. W., & Rappe, A. M. (2016). Thin-film ferroelectric materials and their applications. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 2(2), 16087. <https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmaterials.2016.87>
17. Khan, A. I., Chatterjee, K., Wang, B., Drapcho, S., You, L., Serrao, C., Bakaul, S. R., Ramesh, R., & Salahuddin, S. (2015). Negative capacitance in a ferroelectric capacitor. *Nature Materials*, 14(2), 182-186. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat4148>

18. Mikolajick, T., Slesazeck, S., Park, M. H., & Schroeder, U. (2021). Ferroelectric hafnium oxide for nonvolatile memories and neuromorphic computing. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 129(10), 100901. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0035073>
19. Hoffmann, M., Schroeder, U., Schenk, T., Shimizu, T., Funakubo, H., Sakata, O., Toriumi, A., & Mikolajick, T. (2019). Stabilizing the ferroelectric phase in doped hafnium oxide. *Journal of Applied Physics*, 126(4), 044101. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5089636>
20. Chanthbouala, A., Garcia, V., Cherifi, R. O., Bouzehouane, K., Fusil, S., Moya, X., Xavier, S., Yamada, H., Deranlot, C., Mathur, N. D., Bibes, M., Barthélémy, A., & Grollier, J. (2012). A ferroelectric memristor. *Nature Materials*, 11(10), 860-864. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat3415>