

AI-Assisted Discovery of High-Performance Dielectric Materials for 5G and 6G Communication Components

Finn Rao

School of Information Technology, University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH, USA.
finn472@uc.edu

Janan Lei

Department of Computer Science, Binghamton University, Binghamton, NY, USA.
jinan317@binghamton.edu

Manav Arora

Department of Computer Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, USA.
marora@colostate.edu

Abstract

The rapid evolution of fifth-generation and sixth-generation communication systems is creating urgent demand for dielectric materials that can simultaneously satisfy stringent high-frequency electrical performance, thermal stability, mechanical processability, and manufacturing scalability. Traditional empirical methods for discovering such materials are increasingly insufficient because the relevant composition and processing spaces are vast and highly coupled. Artificial intelligence assisted discovery offers a pathway to navigate this complexity through data-driven modeling, active learning, and high-throughput computational screening. However, translating algorithmic predictions into practical communication components requires a systems-level perspective that extends beyond predictive accuracy. This paper examines the structural trade-offs, data infrastructures, experimental validation architectures, governance mechanisms, and sustainability considerations that shape AI-assisted dielectric materials discovery for 5G and 6G components. It discusses how dielectric constant, loss behavior, temperature stability, and integration compatibility form a multi-objective design space that cannot be addressed by materials chemistry alone. The analysis connects machine learning advances with microwave ceramics, polymer dielectrics, multilayer capacitor processing, and electromagnetic component integration. The paper further considers fairness in data access, critical raw material supply, lifecycle environmental impact, and dual-use policy implications. By treating materials discovery as an integrated socio-technical system, the paper offers forward-looking insights into how artificial intelligence can support resilient and sustainable communication infrastructures without ignoring institutional and governance constraints.

Keywords

artificial intelligence; dielectric materials; 5G; 6G; materials informatics; microwave ceramics; multilayer ceramic capacitors; sustainability.

1. Introduction

The deployment of fifth-generation and emerging sixth-generation communication systems is reshaping the requirements for passive microwave and millimeter-wave components. Dielectric materials used in substrates, filters, resonators, antennas, and capacitors must now satisfy demanding electrical, thermal, mechanical, and economic constraints simultaneously. Historically, the search for high-performance dielectrics has relied on iterative experimentation guided by expert knowledge. While this approach has produced many important material families, it is often slow and poorly suited to the wide composition and processing spaces required by next-generation communication systems. Recent advances in machine learning and materials informatics have created new opportunities to explore these spaces more efficiently [1]. In parallel, the microwave ceramics community has accumulated detailed knowledge of structure-property relationships in low-loss dielectric systems [2]. The integration of these two traditions requires more than algorithmic sophistication. It demands a system-level perspective that considers data infrastructure, experimental validation, manufacturing compatibility, governance, and long-term sustainability. Machine learning methods are increasingly capable of extracting predictive patterns from heterogeneous materials data [3]. However, the translation of model predictions into high-performance components for 5G and 6G systems depends on institutional and technical architectures that connect computation with fabrication.

This paper examines AI-assisted discovery of dielectric materials from a systems perspective. It does not focus narrowly on model accuracy or material chemistry. Instead, it addresses structural trade-offs across the discovery pipeline, including data generation, feature representation, model selection, experimental validation, manufacturing integration, and lifecycle policy. The objective is to provide an interdisciplinary synthesis of how artificial intelligence can support the design of high-frequency dielectric components while remaining attentive to infrastructure constraints, fairness in research access, critical material supply, and environmental impact. The discussion draws on recent developments in computational materials science, microwave ceramics, and technology governance.

2. Materials Requirements and System-Level Constraints for 5G and 6G Components

Dielectric materials for high-frequency communication components are evaluated primarily through their dielectric constant, dielectric loss, temperature coefficient of resonant frequency, and thermal conductivity. In many filter and resonator applications, moderate dielectric constant must be combined with very low loss to maintain signal integrity and minimize power dissipation. High dielectric constant enables miniaturization because the physical dimensions of resonators and capacitors can be reduced for a given wavelength. However, high dielectric constant often correlates with increased dielectric loss or reduced temperature stability unless composition and processing are carefully optimized. Reviews of low-loss dielectric ceramics have catalogued numerous material families, including titanates, niobates, and aluminates, and have identified the persistent difficulty of simultaneously achieving high quality factor, suitable dielectric constant, and near-zero thermal drift [4]. Polymer dielectrics occupy a complementary niche in packaging and interposers where lower dielectric constant, ease of processing, and mechanical flexibility are valued, but they generally exhibit higher loss or lower thermal stability than ceramics [5]. The material selection problem is therefore not a single-objective optimization; it is a balancing act shaped by the intended component and system band.

For 5G and 6G systems, the constraints become even more stringent. Higher carrier frequencies reduce component dimensions but also amplify sensitivity to surface roughness,

microstructure, and parasitic effects. In base station filters and satellite communication components, ceramic resonators must maintain stable frequency response across outdoor temperature variations. The work on microwave dielectric ceramics for mobile phone applications highlights how small changes in cation ordering, secondary phases, and processing conditions can lead to significant differences in dielectric loss and temperature stability [6]. From a systems perspective, the material cannot be selected independently of the packaging architecture, the thermal management strategy, and the manufacturing route. For example, a ceramic with excellent intrinsic microwave properties may be incompatible with low-temperature co-fired ceramic processing if its sintering temperature exceeds the melting point of embedded copper electrodes. System designers must therefore consider the material as part of a multilayer architecture that includes conductors, substrates, and protective layers. This interplay explains why the discovery of high-performance dielectrics is not simply a search for the best intrinsic properties but a search for materials that can survive and perform within an integrated component.

3. AI-Driven Discovery Architectures for Dielectric Materials

Modern materials discovery increasingly relies on computational databases that store calculated and experimental properties. The Materials Project has demonstrated how a community-oriented repository of first-principles calculations can accelerate the screening of inorganic compounds for energy, electronic, and dielectric applications [7]. High-throughput computational pipelines can examine thousands of compositions and generate property predictions that would be impossible to obtain through manual experimentation [8]. However, the dielectric properties relevant to 5G and 6G components, especially loss behavior and temperature coefficients, are sensitive to defects, microstructures, and processing history. This makes direct first-principles prediction challenging and motivates hybrid architectures that combine computed descriptors with experimental data.

Adaptive design and active learning strategies address the fundamental challenge of experimental scarcity. Rather than relying on a fixed training set, adaptive design chooses the next materials to synthesize or simulate based on model uncertainty and expected improvement [9]. Active learning explicitly uses uncertainty estimates to balance exploration of unknown composition spaces with exploitation of promising regions [10]. For dielectric materials, such strategies can guide researchers toward compositions with the most informative property combinations, reducing the number of expensive ceramic processing trials needed to identify a candidate. This is particularly valuable when the search space includes multiple cations, dopants, and sintering aids.

Recent machine learning architectures based on graph representations have expanded the capacity to model crystalline and molecular structures without manually engineered descriptors [11]. Crystal graph networks encode atoms and bonds as nodes and edges, allowing models to learn directly from structural connectivity. Such approaches have been used to predict formation energies and electronic properties, and they hold promise for dielectric response if trained on appropriate datasets. In parallel, structure prediction methods have evolved to explore thermodynamically stable and metastable phases more systematically [12]. These methods can propose new crystal structures that may possess favorable dielectric characteristics, but their integration into component-focused pipelines remains an open challenge. The architecture of an AI-assisted discovery system must therefore include modules for data ingestion, representation learning, uncertainty quantification, candidate ranking, and experiment selection.

4. Data Infrastructures and Experimental Validation Systems

The value of machine learning in dielectric materials research depends heavily on the quality, coverage, and accessibility of training data. Representation learning methods such as those developed for atomic systems show that structured representations can improve generalization, but they also reveal the risk of overfitting when datasets are small or biased toward well-studied chemistries [13]. For polymer dielectrics, data-centric approaches have been proposed as part of a broader polymer genome roadmap, linking chemical structures to dielectric and thermal properties [14]. Public polymer datasets with computed properties have enabled initial surrogate models, but the transferability of these models to high-frequency loss behavior remains uncertain [15]. The lack of standardized high-frequency dielectric measurements across temperature and humidity conditions is a significant bottleneck.

Experimental validation systems must be designed as learning loops rather than one-time confirmations. High-throughput synthesis and characterization can generate large volumes of process-property data, but only if instruments and workflows are configured to capture metadata such as particle size distribution, sintering profile, electrode compatibility, and measurement uncertainty. A system-level architecture would connect automated sample preparation, rapid dielectric characterization, and model retraining. This integration is especially important for ceramic systems where powder processing and sintering history can dominate the final loss behavior. Without robust validation data, machine learning models may inadvertently learn artifacts of a single laboratory or a narrow processing window. Governance of data quality and interoperability is therefore as important as the choice of model architecture.

A realistic AI-assisted materials workflow combines computational screening with targeted experimental validation through active learning. For dielectric components, the validation must include not only bulk dielectric measurements but also component-level testing in resonators or capacitors. This is because a material may exhibit acceptable intrinsic loss but fail when integrated with electrodes due to interfacial reactions or thermal expansion mismatch. Thus the experimental system must produce data that captures both intrinsic and integration-level properties. The feedback from component testing to material design is a defining feature of a systems approach, and it distinguishes discovery for practical communication components from purely computational property prediction.

5. Structural Trade-offs in Composition, Processing, and Component Integration

The transition from a promising composition to a manufacturable component involves structural trade-offs that are often underrepresented in early-stage AI discovery. For ceramics, composition modifications that improve dielectric loss may worsen sintering behavior, mechanical strength, or co-firing compatibility. Multilayer ceramic capacitors illustrate this complexity because they require alternating layers of dielectric and metal electrode materials that must co-densify without cracking or delamination. Recent work on aqueous tape casting of barium titanate films for ultrathin multilayer ceramic capacitor applications highlights the manufacturing challenge of producing thin, uniform dielectric layers at scale [16]. Although such work is not focused on machine learning, it represents the kind of process knowledge that must be encoded into AI-assisted discovery workflows if model-derived compositions are to become real components.

The production of multilayer ceramic capacitors has historically relied on precise control of tape thickness, binder systems, and electrode materials. Base-metal electrode technologies

introduced process and cost advantages but also required reducing atmospheres and defect control strategies [17]. In the context of 5G and 6G components, the need for smaller capacitors with stable performance at high frequencies places additional pressure on layer thickness and uniformity. AI models that recommend dielectric compositions without considering these processing constraints may produce candidates that are scientifically interesting but industrially impractical. Therefore, process-aware representations should include features such as sintering temperature, tape casting slurry rheology, binder burnout behavior, and electrode compatibility. A system-level architecture can integrate historical process data with composition data to predict manufacturability alongside intrinsic dielectric performance.

Component integration also involves electromagnetic design trade-offs. Microwave dielectric ceramics with high quality factor and stable temperature response have been developed specifically for 5G base station and satellite communication components [18]. Antenna and filter designers must balance the dielectric properties of substrates and resonators with impedance matching, bandwidth, and radiation efficiency [19]. A material that is excellent in isolation may introduce losses when patterned or when placed near other circuit elements. Consequently, the discovery pipeline must include electromagnetic simulation and prototype testing as part of the validation hierarchy. The structural trade-offs between dielectric constant, loss, thermal stability, mechanical processability, and electromagnetic compatibility define a multi-objective design space that cannot be reduced to a single performance metric.

6. Governance, Fairness, and Sustainability Implications

The growing use of AI in materials discovery raises governance questions that extend beyond technical accuracy. Machine learning models can inherit biases from training data dominated by well-funded research groups, commercially valuable material families, or historically studied chemistries. This can direct attention away from less explored but potentially important materials. Ethical frameworks for artificial intelligence emphasize the need for transparency, accountability, and the avoidance of harm, principles that are relevant to materials research as much as to social applications [20]. In the dielectric materials domain, opaque models may be accepted if they produce successful candidates, but their long-term value depends on interpretability and the ability to audit why a particular composition was predicted to perform well.

Data governance is particularly important because materials datasets are heterogeneous, scattered, and often protected by institutional or commercial interests. The application of findable, accessible, interoperable, and reusable data principles can improve the reproducibility of AI-assisted materials discovery and allow smaller research groups to participate more equitably [21]. Without shared data standards, large institutions with proprietary datasets will continue to hold an advantage, and the scientific community will not be able to replicate or challenge published model results. This fairness dimension is often overlooked in technical discussions but has real consequences for the global development of 5G and 6G infrastructure.

Sustainability considerations also shape the governance of dielectric materials discovery. Ceramic dielectrics may contain elements such as tantalum, niobium, or rare earths whose supply chains are geographically concentrated and environmentally intensive. Materials selection frameworks for sustainable development emphasize the need to account for embodied energy, resource criticality, and end-of-life strategies [22]. Supply risk analysis for advanced materials has shown that geopolitical and market factors can disrupt production

even when a material is technically superior [23]. AI-assisted discovery could either worsen or mitigate these risks depending on whether sustainability criteria are included in the objective function. A governance structure that requires lifecycle assessment alongside dielectric performance would help align materials innovation with broader environmental objectives.

7. Deployment and Policy Perspectives

Deploying AI-assisted dielectric material discovery at scale requires coordinated investment in computational infrastructure, shared experimental facilities, and workforce training. National and regional programs have begun to treat critical raw materials as strategic inputs for communication technologies, recognizing that material availability can constrain the rollout of 5G and 6G networks [24]. Policy instruments such as research consortia, open databases, and technology roadmaps can reduce duplication and create common evaluation standards. The deployment challenge is not merely technical; it involves aligning incentives among universities, materials suppliers, component manufacturers, and network operators.

The security implications of advanced AI are also relevant when considering dual-use materials technologies. The same prediction capabilities that accelerate civilian wireless components could be applied to specialized microwave devices with defense applications. Frameworks for forecasting and mitigating malicious uses of AI suggest that research communities should consider access controls, publication norms, and monitoring mechanisms without unduly restricting beneficial scientific exchange [25]. For dielectric materials, this may involve balancing open data sharing with export controls on high-performance ceramic processing knowledge. A transparent and inclusive governance process is needed to navigate these tensions.

Policy should also support lifecycle-oriented experimentation. This includes funding for recycling research, substitution of critical elements, and standards that reward lower-loss materials because they reduce energy consumption in network equipment. AI-assisted discovery can contribute by modeling process-property-sustainability trade-offs, but only if datasets include environmental and supply-chain variables. The integration of policy perspectives into the discovery architecture transforms the goal from finding a single best dielectric to maintaining a portfolio of materials that perform across different regional supply conditions, environmental regulations, and application requirements. Such portfolio thinking is consistent with the system-level orientation of this paper and may ultimately determine the resilience of 5G and 6G infrastructures.

8. Conclusion

AI-assisted discovery of dielectric materials for 5G and 6G communication components holds significant promise, but its success depends on the construction of integrated systems that connect computational prediction with experimental validation and manufacturing reality. The discussion in this paper has emphasized that dielectric constant, loss behavior, thermal stability, process compatibility, and electromagnetic integration form a coupled design space. Addressing this space requires more than improved model architectures; it requires data infrastructures that capture process history, experimental workflows that feed component-level results back into model training, and institutional arrangements that align academic research with industrial manufacturing.

The governance and sustainability dimensions of AI-assisted materials discovery are equally consequential. Fair access to data, transparency in model predictions, and lifecycle assessment

must be treated as first-order design requirements rather than afterthoughts. Critical raw material dependencies and dual-use concerns add further complexity to the deployment of these technologies across different national and commercial contexts. By adopting a system-level perspective, researchers and policymakers can shape AI-assisted materials discovery in ways that are not only technically productive but also socially robust and environmentally responsible. Future progress will depend less on isolated algorithmic breakthroughs and more on the deliberate construction of pipelines that respect the true complexity of dielectric materials and the communication systems they enable.

References

1. Ramprasad, R., Batra, R., Pilia, G., Mannodi-Kanakkithodi, A., & Kim, C. (2017). Machine learning in materials informatics: Recent applications and prospects. *npj Computational Materials*, 3, 54.
2. Sebastian, M. T., Uvic, R., & Jantunen, H. (2015). Low-loss dielectric ceramic materials and their properties. *International Materials Reviews*, 60(7), 392-412.
3. Butler, K. T., Davies, D. W., Cartwright, H., Isayev, O., & Walsh, A. (2018). Machine learning for molecular and materials science. *Nature*, 559(7715), 547-555.
4. Narang, S. B., & Bahel, S. (2010). Low loss dielectric ceramics for microwave applications: A review. *Journal of Ceramic Processing Research*, 11(3), 316-321.
5. Maier, G. (2001). Low dielectric constant polymers for microelectronics. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 26(1), 3-65.
6. Reaney, I. M., & Iddles, D. (2006). Microwave dielectric ceramics for resonators and filters in mobile phone applications. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 89(7), 2063-2072.
7. Jain, A., Ong, S. P., Hautier, G., Chen, W., Richards, W. D., Dacek, S., Cholia, S., Gunter, D., Skinner, D., Ceder, G., & Persson, K. A. (2013). Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Materials*, 1(1), 011002.
8. Curtarolo, S., Hart, G. L. W., Nardelli, M. B., Mingo, N., Sanvito, S., & Levy, O. (2013). The high-throughput highway to computational materials design. *Nature Materials*, 12(3), 191-201.
9. Xue, D., Balachandran, P. V., Hogden, J., Theiler, J., Xue, D., & Lookman, T. (2016). Accelerated search for materials with targeted properties by adaptive design. *Nature Communications*, 7, 11241.
10. Lookman, T., Balachandran, P. V., Xue, D., & Yuan, R. (2019). Active learning in materials science with emphasis on adaptive sampling using uncertainties for targeted design. *npj Computational Materials*, 5, 21.
11. Chen, C., Ye, W., Zuo, Y., Zheng, C., & Ong, S. P. (2019). Graph networks as a universal machine learning framework for molecules and crystals. *Chemistry of Materials*, 31(9), 3564-3572.
12. Oganov, A. R., Pickard, C. J., Zhu, Q., & Needham, R. J. (2019). Structure prediction drives materials discovery. *Nature Reviews Materials*, 4(5), 331-348.

13. Zhou, J., Cui, L., Li, X., Tang, J., Zhang, Y., & Wang, M. (2018). Learning atoms for materials discovery. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(28), E6411-E6417.
14. Mannodi-Kanakkithodi, A., Chandrasekaran, A., Kim, C., Huan, T. D., Pilania, G., Botu, V., & Ramprasad, R. (2018). Scoping the polymer genome: A roadmap for rational polymer dielectrics design and beyond. *Materials Today*, 21(7), 785-796.
15. Huan, T. D., Mannodi-Kanakkithodi, A., Kim, C., Sharma, V., Pilania, G., & Ramprasad, R. (2016). A polymer dataset for accelerated property prediction and design. *Scientific Data*, 3, 160012.
16. Luan, S., He, H., Zhang, L., Yu, J., Cao, X., Wang, J., ... & Sun, R. (2025). Fabrication of High Performance BaTiO₃ Films via Aqueous Tape Casting for ultrathin MLCC applications. *Ceramics International*.
17. Kishi, H., Mizuno, Y., & Chazono, H. (2003). Base-metal electrode-multilayer ceramic capacitors: Past, present and future perspectives. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics*, 42(1R), 1-5.
18. Zhou, D., Pang, L.-X., Wang, D.-W., Guo, J., & Reaney, I. M. (2018). High quality factor, temperature stable microwave dielectric ceramics for 5G base station and satellite communication. *Journal of Materials Chemistry C*, 6(35), 9296-9304.
19. Hong, W., Jiang, Z. H., Yu, C., Zhou, J., Chen, P., Yu, Z., Zhang, H., Yang, B., Pang, X., Jiang, M., Cheng, Y. J., & He, S. (2017). Multibeam antenna technologies for 5G wireless communications. *IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation*, 65(12), 6231-6249.
20. Floridi, L., Cows, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R., Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., Luetge, C., Madelin, R., Pagallo, U., Rossi, F., Schafer, B., Valcke, P., & Vayena, E. (2018). AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI society: Opportunities, risks, principles, and recommendations. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689-707.
21. Wilkinson, M. D., Dumontier, M., Aalbersberg, I. J., Appleton, G., Axton, M., Baak, A., Blomberg, N., Boiten, J.-W., da Silva Santos, L. B., Bourne, P. E., Bouwman, J., Brookes, A. J., Clark, T., Crosas, M., Dillo, I., Dumon, O., Edmunds, S., Evelo, C. T., Finkers, R., ... & Mons, B. (2016). The FAIR Guiding Principles for scientific data management and stewardship. *Scientific Data*, 3, 160018.
22. Ashby, M. F. (2015). *Materials and sustainable development*. Butterworth-Heinemann.
23. Helbig, C., Bradshaw, A. M., Wietschel, L., Thorenz, A., & Tuma, A. (2018). Supply risks associated with lithium-ion battery materials. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 172, 274-286.
24. European Commission. (2020). *Critical raw materials for strategic technologies and sectors in the EU: A foresight study*. Publications Office of the European Union.
25. Brundage, M., Avin, S., Clark, J., Toner, H., Eckersley, P., Garfinkel, B., Dafoe, A., Scharre, P., Zeitsoff, T., Filar, B., Anderson, H., Roff, H., Allen, G. C., Steinhardt, J., Flynn, C., hEigeartaigh, S. O., Beard, S., Belfield, H., Farquhar, S., ... & Amodi, D. (2018). The malicious use of artificial intelligence: Forecasting, prevention, and mitigation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.07228*.